May 30 '05 17:27

P.07/10

Application No: 10/707, 112 Docket No.11761-US-PA Customer No. 31561

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully submit that Yang in view Wolf and further in view of Wang and Perng is legally deficient for the purpose of rendering claims 1 and 5 unpatentable because the reference or references, taken alone or combined, fails to teach or suggest each and every element recited in the claims.

Fax:23698454

The present invention is directed to a method of forming a passivation layer directly on a metallic, and the metallic layer is prevented from structural or electrical damage due to the deposition process. To achieve such an effect, the present invention teaches in claim 1, among other things, performing a plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition process to form a first passivation layer directly on the metallic layer, wherein the plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition process is carried out at a processing pressure between about 21 to 25 Torrs and with a processing power between about 1 to 600 Watts. Fabricating a passivation layer with a higher pressure and a lower processing power reduces the degree of damage to the metallic layers. The present invention also discovers a similar effect by performing a semi-atmospheric chemical vapor deposition process with liquid tetra-ethyl-ortho-silicate (TEOS) and ozone inside a reaction chamber to form a first passivation layer directly on the metallic layer, wherein the liquid tetra-ethyl-ortho-silicate flowing into the reaction chamber has a flow rate

4

Fax: 23698454 May 30 '05 17:27

Application No: 10/707, 112 Docket No.11761-US-PA Customer No. 31561

P. 08/10

between 500 sccm to 3000 sccm and the ozone flowing into the reaction chamber has a flow rate between 5000 sccm to 15000 sccm as taught in claim 5.

Yang as cited in the previous Office Action teaches forming a passivation layer using PECVD. There is no suggestion or teaching in Yang that performing the PECVD under certain processing conditions to prevent damages to the underlying metallic layers. Yang simply teaches forming the passivation layer on the antireflective layer 220 using PECVD. The problem that is being solved by the instant case is not even recognized by Yang The Office then relies on Wolf to teach the conventional method of deposition for silicon oxide and silicon nitride. Again, there is no suggestion in Wolf that by fabricating a passivation: layer with a higher pressure and a lower processing power in a PECVD process. In fact, Wolff teaches in page 173 for PECVD reactors are operated in the pressure range of 0.1-5 torrs. Therefore, applicants respectfully submit that people skilled in the art would not easily be ables to deduce from the teachings of Yang and Wolf that performing PECVD at an operating pressure and power outside the normally practiced ranges would provide protection for the metallic layer formed directly underneath the PECVD oxide layer. Applicants also respectfully disagree with the Office's assertion that performing PECVD at the claimed processing pressure and power would just be an optimization of a desired characteristic of the deposited film because the claimed processing pressure and power are critical and are thus not obvious. See MPEP 2144.05, 8th edition., February 2003.

5

Application No: 10/707, 112 Docket No. 11761-US-PA Customer No. 31561

Similarly, Wang teaches performing a PECVD process at a pressure too low (2.0 to 2.8 torr at col. 7, ln. 35049) to achieve the advantages of the instant case. It is thus obvious that Wang fails to even recognize the problem of the instant case of forming a PECVD layer at high pressure directly on a metallic layer to prevent damage to the metallic layer and thus can not render the instant case obvious. Further, the SACVD oxide of Wang is conducted using TEOS and O₂ gases to resolves the problems of via cracking, while the present invention teaches using TEOS and ozone gases to mitigate damages on a metallic layer. Regarding Perng, Perng discloses a PECVD process conducted at a pressure that is too low (1-20 torr) and a power that is too high (50-150W) to mitigate damage to the underlying metallic layers. Further, the SACVD layer of Perng is formed on a PECVD layer, whereas the present invention teaches the SACVD layer is formed directly on a metal layer.

For at least the above reasons that Yang in view Wolf and further in view of Wang and Perng fails to teach or suggest each element in the claims, Applicants respectfully assert that claims 1 and 5 patentably define over Yang in view Wolf and further in view of Wang and Perng. Since claims 3-4, 8-11 are dependent claims which further define the invention recited in claims 1 and 5, respectively, Applicants respectfully assert that these claims also are in condition for allowance. Thus, reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectively requested.

6

Best Available Copy

Application No: 10/707, 112 Docket No.11761-US-PA Customer No. 31561

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the presently pending claims 1, 3-5, 8-11 are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: May 30, 2005

Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Respectfully submitted,

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office 7th Floor-1, No. 100
Roosevelt Road, Section 2
Taipei, 100
Taiwan

Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800 Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233

Email: belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw

Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw

7